arXiv:1511.05450v2 [gr-qc] 4 Nov 2016

Unruh effect as foundation of universal gravitation within the cosmological scenario

Cláudio Nassif and A. C. Amaro de Faria Jr.
(e-mail: cncruz777@yahoo.com.br, antoniocarlos@ieav.cta.br*)*
(Dated: November 7, 2016)

We aim to build a simple model of a gas with temperature (T) in thermal equilibrium with a black-body that plays the role of the adiabatically expanding universe, so that each particle of such a gas mimics a kind of "particle" (quantum) of dark energy, which is inside a very small area of space so-called Planck area (l_p^2), that is the minimum area of the whole space-time represented by a huge spherical surface with area $4\pi r_u^2$, r_u being the Hubble radius. So we should realize that such spherical surface is the surface of the black-body for representing the universe, whose temperature (T) is related to an acceleration (a) of a proof particle that experiences the own black-body radiation according to the Unruh effect. Thus, by using this model, we derive the law of universal gravitation, which leads us to understand the anti-gravity in the cosmological scenario and also estimate the tiny order of magnitude of the cosmological constant in agreement with the observational data.

PACS numbers: 06.20.Jr, 98.80.Cq, 98.80.Es
dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjp-2015-0668

I. INTRODUCTION

The origin of gravity is still a puzzle in spite of the success of General Relativity (GR) in considering a curved space-time due to the presence of a mass and its well-known relevant implications. Such puzzle arises from the quantum aspect of gravitation, which occurs in the so-called Planck length scale $l_p \sim 10^{-35}$m related to Planck energy scale $E_p \sim 10^{19}$GeV with Planck temperature $T_p \sim 10^{32}$K, which represents a quantum singularity where the gravitational field equation of GR (Einstein's equation) fails. So, in the early universe with temperature $T_p \sim 10^{32}$K, vacuum fluctuations prevailed in a scenario of quantum-gravity, which is not still well-understood. Currently, the most widely accepted explanation for their origin is in the context of cosmic inflation.

The statistical properties of the primordial fluctuations can be inferred from observations of anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background and from measurements of the distribution of matter. Since the fluctuations are believed to arise from inflation, such measurements can also set constraints on parameters within inflationary theory.

Another puzzle that should be deeper explored is the thermodynamical aspect of gravitation. Recent investigations have shown that gravitational field equations in a wide class of models can be interpreted with a thermodynamical origin. This idea, originally due to Sakharov[1], has different forms of implementation [1][2][3][4][5][6][7][8][9][10] [11][12][13][14][15][16] and also other approaches [17][18][19].

II. GRAVITY AND COSMOLOGICAL ANTIGRAVITY FROM UNRUH EFFECT

In the early universe with Planck temperature T_p, space-time was confined to Planck area l_p^2. So imagine such an extremely small area l_p^2, which earlier has expanded dramatically (inflationary model) and then continued to expand more softly to reach the current area $4\pi r_u^2$, $r_u(\sim 10^{26}\text{m})$ being the Hubble radius. Thus, in this

*CBPF: Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rua Dr.Xavier Sigaud, 150, Urca, CEP:22.290-180, Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil.
**UTFPR-GP: Federal Technological University of Paraná, Avenida Professora Laura Pacheco Bastos, 800, Bairro Industrial, CEP: 85.053-510, Guarapuava-PR, Brazil.
**IEAv: Institute of Advanced Studies, Rodovia dos Tamoios, Km 099, CEP: 12.220-000, São José dos Campos-SP, Brazil.

holographic model, the expanding universe 3-D works like the surface 2-D of a spherical "balloon" with area $4\pi r^2$ for a given age and temperature. In other words, we say that such holographic model is considering a spherical surface 2-D for representing the own "tissue" of space-time 4-D that expands, since a quantum space 2-D given in the Planck scale (l_P^2) leads to a continuum space 3-D seen in the macro-scale, according to this holographic model.

According to this model, let us admit the universe as being a gas of N particles in thermal equilibrium with the "particles" on the surface of the cavity of the black-body (spherical surface) that plays the role of a background frame for representing the "tissue" of space-time. Furthermore, since the "cavity 2-D of the black-body" is the own space-time (universe), we should consider that each particle of the gas represents a proof particle that mimics and has a correspondent virtual "particle" inside a very small area of the dark cavity, that is the Planck area (l_p^2). In doing this, we conclude that the spherical surface, that is the universe in this holographic model, can be divided in N areas of Planck l_p^2, so that we write

$$N = \frac{4\pi r^2}{l_p^2}, \tag{1}$$

where N is the number of "particles of such gas" and l_p is the Planck length, namely:

$$l_p = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}} \tag{2}$$

Since each "particle of such gas" over the spherical surface is expanding radially in only one direction r, let us write the thermal energy of the gas as follows:

$$E = mc^2 = \frac{1}{2} N K_B T \tag{3}$$

Now, by inserting Eq.(2) into Eq.(1) and after into Eq.(3), we obtain

$$E = mc^2 = \left(\frac{2\pi c^3 K_B}{G\hbar}\right) T r^2, \tag{4}$$

where r is the radius of the sphere.

Here we must stress that such "gas" is not a true gas where its particles can move randomly over a 2-dimensional surface (spherical surface). Actually, it is a imitation of a gas considered for only one degree of freedom (radial direction r), so that each "particle" of this "gas" is connected to a quantum of space-time given by the Planck area l_p^2, which is radially expanding (Fig.1).

Now let us consider a proof particle (e.g: a particle of a true gas) over the spherical surface with temperature T [Eq.(4)], such that this particle is in thermal equilibrium with the sphere. Then, in this case, as this particle has a radial acceleration ($a = a(r)$), the Unruh effect[20] states that such a particle experiences a thermal bath with the same temperature T of the sphere [Eq.(4)], namely:

$$T = \frac{\hbar a}{2\pi K_B c} \tag{5}$$

Due to the thermal equilibrium between the accelerated particle (proof particle) and the spherical surface with temperature T, we can insert Eq.(5) into Eq.(4) and thus we get

$$mc^2 = \left(\frac{2\pi c^3 K_B}{G\hbar}\right)\left(\frac{\hbar a}{2\pi K_B c}\right) r^2, \tag{6}$$

from where we finally obtain



FIG. 1: The surface of the sphere (expanding universe) is formed by a number N of minimal areas (Planck areas), each one of them being connected to a dark infinitesimal energy working like a "particle" of an exotic "gas", whose total mass is m_{dark}. A baryonic proof particle of a certain gas in thermal equilibrium with the sphere with temperature T, being placed over its surface experiences an acceleration according to the Unruh effect. Such acceleration has anti-gravitational origin, which is related to the cosmological constant.

$$a = \frac{Gm}{r^2}, \tag{7}$$

where m is the mass of the spherical surface, r is its radius and a is the radial acceleration (acceleration of gravity) of a proof particle over the surface.

Eq.(7) is the own law of universal gravitation and it is interesting to call attention to the fact that such law was obtained in a cosmological scenario, where different concepts like black-body, background radiation (Unruh effect) and an expanding exotic "gas" with mass m for representing the space-time quantized in Planck areas are put in together, all of them being essentially connected by a quantum gravity (Planck scale), at least in the context given by Eq.(1).

Although Eq.(7) is the law of universal gravitation, which can be applied for any spherical body with mass m that generates a gravitational force of attraction over a proof particle, Eq.(7) was obtained in a scenario of quantum-gravity [Eq.(1)] connected to a vacuum energy, i.e., a dark mass m of a virtual "gas" that fills the whole space-time. In this sense, since such "gas" is expansive, leading to the expansion of the spherical surface (Fig.1), we realize that the acceleration obtained in Eq.(7) is an anti-gravitational acceleration, which occurs only in the cosmological scenario where we consider the whole universe, so that the mass m in Eq.(7) is a kind of dark mass that fills the whole universe represented by the spherical surface of radius r for a given age of the expanding universe.

In short, we can say that Eq.(7) is the Newton's gravitation law applied to the expanding universe as a whole (a spherical dark mass m_{dark} with a certain radius r); however Eq.(7) works like an anti-gravitational law. Thus, we should rewrite Eq.(7), as follows:

$$a = a_{exp} = -\frac{Gm_{dark}}{r^2}, \tag{8}$$

where the sign "$(-)$" simply indicates that the acceleration is repulsive, a_{exp} being the acceleration of expansion, r is the radius of the sphere (universe) and m_{dark} is the dark mass related to the dark energy $E_{dark} = m_{dark}c^2$, which is about 73 per cent of the whole universe. So, a proof particle (luminous matter like a gas of cosmic dust, a star or a galaxy) on the surface of such dark sphere (frontier of the expanding universe) experiences a repulsive acceleration that will be estimated by Eq.(8), from where we will also derive the cosmological constant.

According to recent studies[21], the density of matter in the universe is about $3 \times 10^{-30} g/cm^3$, which means that it is 300 billion billion billion times less dense than water. Note that this includes the contribution of dark

matter (non-baryonic matter) plus the density of luminous matter or baryonic matter (that we see as stars and galaxies), which is about 27 per cent of the whole universe, about 23 per cent being dark matter and 4 per cent being luminous matter.

Now, the size of the observable universe is about 14 billion light years, and using the above value of density related to luminous and dark matter (27 per cent of the whole universe), we find a mass of about 3×10^{55}g; however, since dark energy is the majority of the universe (73 per cent), we estimate m_{dark} (related to dark energy) about 8.1×10^{55}g, which leads us to conclude that the entire energy (mass) content can be roughly estimated as being the own dark energy m_{dark} of the order of 10^{56}g. Then, by making $m_{dark} \sim 10^{53}$Kg, $r = r_u \sim 10^{26}$m and $G \sim 10^{-10} Nm^2/kg^2$ in Eq.(8), we obtain the following acceleration of anti-gravity for a proof particle, namely $a \sim 10^{-9} m/s^2$, which is an expected result because the acceleration of expansion of the universe is too small.

We know that the cosmological "constant" Λ is related to the acceleration of expansion of the universe. Actually Λ is the acceleration rate per unit of distance r (s^{-2} in SI units), so that we have $\Lambda = da/dr$. In doing this, from Eq.(8), we find

$$\Lambda = \frac{da}{dr} = \frac{2Gm_{dark}}{r^3}, \tag{9}$$

where we realize that Λ has not been constant over the cosmological time, since it depends on r; however the so-called cosmological constant is given for the Hubble's radius $r_u \sim 10^{26}$m.

Now, from Eq.(9), we obtain the well-known (current) cosmological constant, namely:

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_o = \left[\frac{da}{dr}\right]_{r=r_u} = \frac{2Gm_{dark}}{r_u^3} \tag{10}$$

As we have $G \sim 10^{-10} Nm^2/kg^2$, $m_{dark} \sim 10^{53}$kg and $r_u \sim 10^{26}$m, thus, from Eq.(10), we finally obtain $\Lambda_o \sim 10^{-35} s^{-2}$, which is just the order of magnitude of the cosmological constant in agreement with the observational results[22][23][24][25][26][27][28]

We would like to call attention to the fact that the very high values obtained for the cosmological constant and the vacuum energy density by means of Quantum Field Theory (QFT) for describing the quantum vacuum have a discrepancy of about 120 orders of magnitude beyond their observational values. This puzzle is well-known as the *Cosmological Constant Problem* (http://www.worldscientific.com/worldscinet/ijmpd?journalTabs=read)[29], introduced by Zeldovich and well discussed by Weinberg and Padmanabhan[30].

---

[1] A. D. Sakharov, Sov. Phys. Dokl.**12**, 1040 (1968).
[2] T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. Lett.**75**, 1260 (1995).
[3] G. E. Volovik, Phys. Rep.**351**, 195 (2001).
[4] M. Visser, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **17**, 977 (2002).
[5] C. Barcelo *et al*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **10**, 799 (2001).
[6] G. E. Volovik, *The Universe in a Helium Droplet* (Oxford Uni. Pres, 2003).
[7] G. Jannes, arXiv:0907.2839.
[8] H. S. Yang am M. Sivakumar, arXiv:0908.2809.
[9] J. Makela, arXiv:0805.3952.
[10] E. Elizalde and J. S. Pedro, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 061501 (2008).
[11] C. J. Hogan, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 104031 (2008).
[12] K. Bamba *et al*, arXiv:0909.4397.
[13] G. Chirco and S. Liberati, arXiv:0909.4194.
[14] L. Sindoni, F. Girelli and S. Liberati, arXiv:0909.5391.
[15] K. Bamba, C. Cheng and S. Tsujikawa, arXiv:0909.2159.
[16] C. Barcelo, S. Liberati and M. Visser, arXiv:gr-qc/0505065.
[17] T. Padmanabhan, Class. Quantum. Grav. **19**, 5387 (2002).

[18] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rep.**406**, 49 (2005).
[19] T. Padmanabhan, Gen. Rel. Grav.**40**, 2031 (2008).
[20] W. G. Unruh, Physics meets Philosophy at the Planck Scale, 152-173, April (2001).
[21] S. M. Carroll, arXiv:astro-ph/0107571.
[22] M. Carmeli and T.Kuzmenko, arXiv:astro-ph/0102033.
[23] B. P. Schmidt,et al.,Astrophys. J.507, 46 (1998).
[24] A. G. Riess, et al., Astronom. J.116, 1009 (1998).
[25] P. M. Garnavich, et al., Astrophys. J.509, 74 (1998).
[26] S. Perlmutter, et al., Astrophys. J.517, 565 (1999).
[27] M. S. Turner and L. M. Krauss, Gen. Rel. Grav.**27**, 1137 (1995), arXiv:astro-ph/9504003.
[28] Y. Wang and M. Tegmark, Phys. Rev. Lett.**92**, 241302 (2004).
[29] C. Nassif, Gen. Rel. Grav., **47**, 9, 1-34 (2015).
[30] Ya.B. Zel'dovich, JETP Lett.**6**, 316 (1967); S. Weinberg, *The Cosmological Constant Problem*, Rev. Mod. Phys. 61, 1 (1989); T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rept.380, 235 (2003).